Exhibit 305

United States of America ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc., et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 05-11084-PBS

Exhibit to the August 28, 2009 Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

			Page 300
1	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF MAS	SACHUSETTS	
3		X	
4	IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY) .	
5	AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGAT	ION)	
6		X Volume 1	
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:) MDL NO. 1456	
8	The City of New York, et al.,) Civil Action	
9	V.) No. 01-12257-PBS	
10	Abbott Laboratories, et al.)	
11		X	
12	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)	
13	State of California, ex rel.)	
14	Ven-A-Care v. Abbott Laboratorio	es,)	
15	Inc., et al., Case No.)	
16	03-cv-11226-PBS)	
17		X	
18	JULY 10, 200	08	
19	DEPOSITION OF DEY, L.P. AND DEY, INC.		
20	BY PAMELA MARRS - VOLUME II		
21			
22	Reported By: WENDY L. VAN MEERBE	EKE, CSR No. 3676	

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 the code of conduct from the company's official
- 2 file is in the process of being produced. It
- 3 hasn't been produced yet. Whether or not the
- 4 code of conduct was part of an individual
- 5 employee's files that researched or another area,
- 6 that is possible that it's in the production. I
- 7 just can't point you to where that would be.
- 8 MR. AZORSKY:
- 9 Q. And you made repeated reference to
- 10 written procedures relating to copy clearance at
- 11 Dey. I'm wondering whether you had an
- 12 opportunity to take a look or gather any further
- 13 information with respect to such written
- 14 procedures relating to copy clearance.
- 15 A. No. I didn't realize I was to be
- 16 prepared on that today.
- 17 MS. GIULIANA: Right. Also, Gary, I
- 18 don't want to get on your record too much. The
- 19 things that you're referring to now are based on
- 20 a request that you had made to me by e-mail last
- 21 Wednesday, and I think I informed you that I
- 22 wished that you had given me a little bit more of

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 a heads-up so that I could look into those
- 2 matters first to determine whether or not it was
- 3 within the scope of your topics and then second
- 4 to, you know -- in the event that it was within
- 5 the scope, to actually make the effort to find
- 6 the information and either prepare Pam or produce
- 7 it.
- 8 Because you got the request to me very
- 9 late, I wasn't able to look into those things, so
- 10 I can't make a representation -- I can tell you
- 11 that we didn't look for those things, but what I
- 12 can't tell you is whether I agree that those
- 13 things are even within the scope of the notice
- 14 and that we would ever agree to that.
- 15 MR. AZORSKY:
- 16 Q. And as I responded to your e-mail to
- 17 me, my e-mail to you of last week was simply
- 18 intended to be a reminder of issues that arose at
- 19 the May deposition and that were a part of the
- 20 record at that deposition. So it was -- it was
- 21 not at least considered from plaintiffs'
- 22 perspective to be a last-minute request, but

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 that it exists because our customers use it, and
- 2 in some cases where our reimbursement rates have
- 3 been lower than others, we've lost business.
- We as a company are aware of it in that
- 5 general context. I have never seen a list that
- 6 lists all of our products and compares and shows
- 7 the spread. I have not seen that document.
- 8 MR. AZORSKY:
- 9 Q. So you're saying that in -- in one
- 10 context or another through marketing and
- 11 competition with respect to Dey's generic drugs,
- 12 there -- there is a reference to spread and
- 13 looking at spread during the natural course of
- 14 engaging in business with respect to those
- 15 generic drugs?
- 16 A. I know that customers --
- MS. GIULIANA: Object to form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I know that customers
- 19 bring it up. I know that it's, you know, a fact
- 20 of life in the business world. I'm not aware of
- 21 a conscious, you know, routine decision that
- 22 where, you know, people were looking at the

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 Jerry Crank and Russ Johnston that spread was not
- 2 discussed frequently at Dey; is that correct?
- 3 A. Well, in preparing for the last
- 4 deposition, those were two of the people -- and
- 5 Gary Stone. I think we talked to Gary Stone.
- 6 Just, again -- and we had asked different people
- 7 these questions before at different points in
- 8 time in the litigation. You know, Russ Johnston
- 9 was in inside sales during his early years with
- 10 the company. He does not recall it coming up in
- 11 his role as an inside salesperson.
- 12 So that's really all I have to go on,
- is some selective conversations with Dey
- 14 salespeople based in Napa.
- 15 Q. You said you also spoke with Gary
- 16 Stone. What did Gary Stone say with respect to
- 17 the frequency of discussions of spread at Dey?
- 18 A. Again, he -- he didn't recall that the
- 19 spread was something that came up frequently in
- 20 conversations. I mean, the biggest place where
- 21 it probably shows up in -- in the documents is --
- 22 you know, you'll see a bid price worksheet where

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 a customer raises the issue. We've certainly had
- 2 customers raise the issues in the context of
- 3 contracting as well as in seeking to have us
- 4 lower our price to them.
- 5 Q. I'd like to focus on the sources of
- 6 your understanding that spread was not discussed
- 7 frequently at Dey. And you indicated that the
- 8 sources of that information are Jerry Crank, Russ
- 9 Johnston, Gary Stone. Anyone else?
- 10 A. Those are the people I spoke with last
- 11 month or the month before last. In prior years -
- 12 and Todd Galles. I'm sure I probably talked to
- 13 Charles Rice and Bob Mozak at one point -- at
- 14 some point in previous years, but I don't recall
- 15 the specific time periods.
- The information that I was getting from
- internal people was that it wasn't something that
- 18 was a pervasive practice.
- 19 Q. Okay. In addition to Mr. Crank, Mr.
- 20 Johnston, Mr. Stone, Mr. Galles, Mr. Rice and Mr.
- 21 Mozak, what other internal people gave you that
- 22 impression?

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 THE WITNESS: I'm saying that as a
- 2 practical matter, the system -- I mean, there's
- 3 all kinds of testimony and documentation that
- 4 this is a flawed system. I'm sure that that's --
- 5 you know, those documents you've seen.
- 6 What I'm saying is that the way the
- 7 system was set up, it really didn't allow for
- 8 that. And our position has always been, fine,
- 9 lower AWP, but do it for every one. Treat
- 10 everyone the same, because otherwise, the
- 11 companies that -- if you lowered AWP for one
- 12 company and not the other who's selling the same
- 13 generic drug, then -- then the pharmacists won't
- 14 buy your drug.
- So the system is what really needs to
- 16 be fixed. There have been recent actions to try
- 17 to take care of that.
- 18. MR. AZORSKY:
- 19 Q. So Dey could have lowered its AWP when
- 20 prices decreased, but did not do so due to
- 21 competitive pressures because competitors' AWPs
- 22 remained high; is that correct?

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

Page 368 1 MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form. 2 THE WITNESS: Dey would not have had any sales if it had lowered its AWPs 3 substantially below the competitors' AWPs. 4 5 MR. AZORSKY: 6 Q. So in order to maintain sales, Dey kept 7 its AWPs at or about where it was initially set and did not lower them as prices decreased; 9 correct? 10 MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form. 11 THE WITNESS: It's really not an issue 12 of maintaining. It's an issue of whether or not 13 you'd have any sales. And just to add to that --14 and this is in my previous testimony, too. 15 did have a product that we tried to launch and it 16 was in the middle of when people were trying to figure out what all this litigation was about. 17 18 It was a nasal spray product. We launched. set a very low WAC and AWP, much lower than our 19 20 competitors, and we had to discontinue the 21 product. No one would buy it. 22 So it pretty much put us out of

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 business on that product.
- MR. AZORSKY:
- 3 Q. In that situation, did Dey consider
- 4 raising its AWP and WAC in order to get more
- 5 sales for that product?
- A. No, because we were under the
- 7 impression that that was inappropriate at that
- 8 time based on the information obtained throughout
- 9 the litigation process.
- 10 Q. Well, at that time, did Dey then lower
- 11 its AWPs and WACs for all of its generic
- 12 products?
- 13 MS. GIULIANA: Objection to the form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: As I said, it's
- impractical to expect one manufacturer to lower
- 16 its AWPs significantly below other similar
- 17 products because there would be no sales and the
- 18 company would go out of business.
- 19 MR. AZORSKY:
- Q. Well, Mr. Upp, the national accounts
- 21 manager in Dey's sales department, said that when
- 22 these situations arose when a customer would

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 Wells. I actually thought there was a memo or
- 2 something to him that I've seen at some point in
- 3 the past. Maybe not. I -- I was not aware of
- 4 that specific conversation that I recall, but I
- 5 was aware of the fact that there was some attempt
- 6 to discuss the issue with Florida to seek
- 7 resolution.
- 8 MR. AZORSKY:
- 9 Q. Do you have any information that
- 10 contradicts Mr. Uhl's characterization of that
- 11 communication with Florida?
- MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form.
- MR. AZORSKY:
- 14 O. As I've described it --
- 15 A. I don't recall hearing that level of
- 16 detail before.
- 17 Q. Mr. Uhl also testified that Carrie
- 18 Jackson spoke to Jerry Wells also and that Jerry
- 19 Wells informed her that he couldn't make a change
- 20 based upon Uhl's representations, but that the
- 21 pricing compendia, Red Book or Blue Book or First
- DataBank, had to make a change. Does Dey have

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

Page 394 any information to contradict that? 1 2 MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall 4 those specifics. I -- you know, again, I have 5 this vague recollection of seeing an internal 6 memo at one point that mentioned Jerry Wells. 7 Maybe it was Carrie Jackson, but I'd have to find the document to be sure. 8 MR. AZORSKY: 9 10 Well, does your -- does the -- is it your recollection that any information in the 11 12 memo that you're referring to contradicts that 13 testimony? MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form. 14 I don't -- I don't recall 15 THE WITNESS: 16 what the memo said. I'm sorry. I'd have to look 17 at it again. 18 MR. AZORSKY: 19 Bruce Tipton, the former director of 20 national accounts in the sales department at Dey, 21 said that all the sales and marketing heads would get together to discuss pricing issues or 22

July 10, 2008

- 1 sales management. I don't recall -- back in
- 2 those other -- prior to, say, 2002, sales and
- 3 marketing was run more independently as -- and
- 4 the information wasn't shared as freely
- 5 throughout the organization as it was in later
- 6 years where there was more involvement of the
- 7 other departments.
- Q. Is it your understanding that at these
- 9 plan of action meetings that took place during
- 10 the period you're aware they took place that
- 11 there were discussions about pricing and
- 12 marketing of Dey's products?
- 13 A. Well, I'm not aware of pricing. Right
- 14 -- you know, most of our products in the last
- 15 five years are brands, and most of our reps call
- 16 on physicians. We have a trade force, but it's
- only a few people. We have 200 -- about 200
- 18 people that call on physicians and another 40
- 19 that call on hospitals.
- 20 So those people don't really deal with
- 21 price. The people who call -- who would call on
- 22 physicians are really there to promote the

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 attributes of the product. So I've not been to
- 2 one of these meetings. I have not seen an
- 3 agenda, but I know that they're usually busy
- 4 putting together marketing literature to drop at
- 5 the physician office before these meetings.
- I know at the national sales meeting,
- 7 which is a once-a-year event, they do have
- 8 breakout sessions for the trade people and the
- 9 other people who might be more involved in
- 10 pricing, but I don't -- I haven't been to a sales
- 11 meeting in a long time, so I'm not sure if
- 12 pricing is one of the topics they talk about or
- 13 not.
- 14 Again, that's the last five years. I'm
- 15 not sure what the discussions were prior to that.
- 16 Q. So if I understand your answer
- 17 correctly, you're not certain whether they
- 18 discuss pricing, but you -- it is your
- 19 understanding that they discuss marketing
- 20 strategies and materials at these plan of action
- 21 meetings described by Mr. Mozak?
- 22 MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form.

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 THE WITNESS: Well, the time period I'm
- 2 talking about was after Bob left, so it was when
- 3 new management came in that I became a little
- 4 more aware of what some of the practices were.
- 5 MR. AZORSKY:
- 6 Q. So you don't know what was discussed at
- 7 the ones that he would have been testifying
- 8 about?
- 9 A. No. I --
- 10 MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know what was
- 12 discussed at those meetings. I did -- I wasn't
- 13 even aware of the fact they were having them,
- 14 quite frankly.
- MR. AZORSKY:
- 16 Q. I guess then if you're not aware that
- 17 they had such meetings -- I guess that means you
- 18 haven't seen any documents memorializing what
- 19 took place at any such meetings?
- MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall seeing
- 22 documents. Again, the national sales meeting is

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 MS. GIULIANA: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: I think what I said was
- 3 that it -- it was my understanding it was
- 4 industry practice to set AWPs for generics at a
- 5 percentage off of the brand and that that
- 6 information was generally obtained from Ed
- 7 Edelstein at First DataBank when his advice was
- 8 sought by Bob Mozak.
- 9 MR. HENDERSON:
- 10 Q. Okay. So I -- to prepare for this
- 11 particular topic, what did you do?
- 12 A. This week, nothing. Before, I do
- 13 recall reading a transcript of a deposition where
- 14 the contact with Ed Edelstein was referenced.
- 15 It's something that I have just generally heard
- 16 from the attorneys as well as Mr. Mozak in the
- 17 course of providing documents for this
- 18 litigation.
- 19 Other than the reference to Mr.
- 20 Edelstein, I don't recall a specific document
- 21 right now that talked about industry practice.
- 22 It was more just what he indicated to me.

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 Q. Okay. So based on -- Dey's view that
- 2 it was industry practice to set AWP at
- 3 approximately -- well, let me back up.
- 4 Do I understand correctly that Dey
- 5 believes and has believed that the industry
- 6 practice with respect to setting AWPs for generic
- 7 drugs is to set the AWP at approximately ten
- 8 percent below the AWP of the brand product?
- 9 A. I don't recall if it was ten percent or
- 10 15 percent, but it was in that -- it was in that
- 11 range.
- 12 Q. Okay. And am I correct in
- 13 understanding that the basis for that belief is
- 14 that the phone conversation that Mr. Mozak had
- 15 with Ed Edelstein -- I can't remember the date --
- 16 sometime in the early 1990s, I believe --
- 17 A. I think it was before albuterol was
- 18 launched in '92 sometime.
- 19 Q. Okay. So am I correct in understanding
- 20 that that's the basis for Dey's belief about the
- 21 industry practice?
- 22 MS.: GIULIANA: Objection. Form.

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

		Page 462
1	THE WITNESS: That's the specific	
2	MR. HENDERSON:	
3	Q. Which right now	
4	A third party that I recall being	
5	mentioned. Bob and other people would, of	
6	course, had knowledge of what was going on in the	
7	industry just from virtue of being in sales and	
8	marketing, which, you know, again, I was I was	
9	relying on Bob to relay industry practice type of	
10	information to me.	
11	Q. Okay. In preparation for this topic,	
12	did you talk to Bob Mozak about Dey's belief	
13	regarding the industry practice?	
14	MS. GIULIANA: Objection. Form.	-
15	THE WITNESS: I didn't speak with him	
16	in preparing for this recent deposition. When he	
17	was still with Dey and the litigation was	
18	ongoing, we spoke about it then.	
19	MR. HENDERSON:	
20	Q. Okay. What what did he tell you?	
21	A. Just that that was the that that's	
22	how AWPs were set, from a mechanical standpoint	

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 that that was the basis upon which AWPs were set
- 2 by Dey and generally by other companies as well.
- 3 Q. I see. Do you recall whether or not he
- 4 said that's how it's set by other companies?
- 5 A. Well, yeah. I -- he said, you know,
- 6 that's just, you know, the way the industry
- 7 works. I'm not saying that's a direct quote, but
- 8 yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Other than -- is there any other
- 10 -- I think we probably should stop because the
- 11 videographer needs to change the tape.
- 12 A. Okay.
- MS. GIULIANA: And also the temperature
- 14 seems to be rising in this room.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
- 16 record. The time is 2:19. This is the end of
- 17 tape number two, Volume II in the deposition of
- 18 Pamela Marrs.
- 19 (Recess taken.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.
- 21 The time is 2:31. This marks the beginning of
- 22 tape number three, Volume II in the deposition of

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 MS. GIULIANA: Objection. Form.
- 2 MR. HENDERSON:
- Q. And in fact, when First DataBank did
- 4 not publish the AWP that Dey had reported, Dey
- 5 took action to correct the situation?
- A. Well, the way we learned of the fact
- 7 that they hadn't published --
- Q. I'm not asking you how you learned.
- 9 A. Let me finish. Let me finish. We did
- 10 nothing to control that situation. We only
- 11 became aware of it when our customers called us
- 12 threatening to not purchase our product anymore
- 13 because they had been notified by First DataBank
- 14 of this price that we knew nothing about, and we
- 15 were faced with the situation where if we didn't
- 16 take some action, those customers all would have
- 17 gone away and the plant in Napa would have
- 18 closed.
- 19 Q. Ms. Marrs, you just said that Dey did
- 20 not control that situation. In fact, Dey sued
- 21 First DataBank to force them to publish what you
- 22 had reported. Didn't Dey do that?

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

		Page 469
1	MS. GIULIANA: Objection to form.	-
2	THE WITNESS: We took action after our	
3	customers reported to us that the numbers had	
4	been changed in a manner in which was	
5	inconsistent with everyone else in the industry.	
6	MR. HENDERSON:	
7	Q. When Dey learned that First DataBank	
8	had not published the AWP that Dey reported to	
9	them, Dey sued First DataBank to correct that?	
10	MS. GIULIANA: Objection. Form. It's	
11	asked and answered.	
12	THE WITNESS: We sued First DataBank,	
13	and our intention was we didn't care if our AWP	
14	changed, but everyone else's needed to change and	
15	there needed to be a level playing field.	
16	MR. HENDERSON:	
17	Q. You sued First Data you didn't ask	
18	First DataBank to publish everybody to publish	
19	a different AWP for everybody else. You sued	
20	First DataBank to force them to publish the AWP	
21	that you reported to First DataBank; isn't that	
22	correct?	

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 launch. And I'd like to ask a few questions
- 2 about the -- Dey's belief regarding any industry
- 3 practice concerning changing or not changing the
- 4 AWP after launch.
- 5 A. It's my understanding --
- 6 MS. GIULIANA: Objection to the form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: It's my understanding
- 8 that for generics, the price is set at launch and
- 9 it does not change subsequently. But for brands,
- 10 it is set at launch and it is subsequently
- 11 changed, and it moves in the same direction as
- 12 the actual price changes in the marketplace.
- MR. HENDERSON:
- Q. And tell me who at Dey has had that
- 15 belief --
- MS. GIULIANA: Objection to the form.
- MR. HENDERSON:
- 18 Q. -- about this being an industry
- 19 standard.
- MS. GIULIANA: Same objection.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Same answer as before, I
- 22 guess. You know, it's something that we've

- 1 consistently done. It's something that it's our
- 2 understanding -- and that would be a little
- 3 broader because it's actually the contracts
- 4 people who implement the price changes. So, for
- 5 example, if there's a WAC change on a generic,
- 6 they do the revised pricing and -- and reporting
- 7 of that, and over the years they would change the
- 8 WAC and not change the AWP.
- 9 On the same hand, if they were
- 10 processing a price change for a brand which would
- 11 traditionally be an increase to the price, then
- 12 they would publish both a new WAC and a new AWP.
- 13 And the AWP and the WAC would go up by the same
- 14 percentage point.
- So the people who process those
- 16 transactions would be aware of them.
- MR. HENDERSON:
- 18 Q. I appreciate that, Ms. Marrs. My
- 19 question was, who at Dey -- and if you could,
- 20 please identify them by name -- had this belief?
- 21 A. It would be primarily Russ Johnston.
- 22 He's the one who has been in charge of those

Napa, CA

July 10, 2008

- 1 A. I believe that's what is represented in
- 2 these documents in Exhibit 33. And if I could
- 3 just add one thing, because before when we spoke
- 4 about this question, we were focused on the AWP
- 5 piece. We didn't really talk about the WAC
- 6 piece, which to me is confusing because it says,
- 7 "WACs that were higher than actual wholesale
- 8 acquisition costs."
- 9 Well, by definition -- by Dey's
- 10 definition and I believe by industry definition -
- 11 although I'm not 100 percent sure if everyone
- 12 in the industry does this. Our WAC is our
- 13 invoice price to the wholesaler. So from our
- 14 standpoint, WAC equals invoice price -- actual
- 15 invoice price. So to me these are saying the
- 16 same thing.
- 17 Q. Okay. Let's discuss that topic.
- 18 You're right. Our previous questions and your
- 19 testimony was -- did not cover the WAC issue.
- 20 You said Dey's WACs are Dey's invoice
- 21 prices?
- 22 A. To wholesalers.